From idea to impact
Springer Nature Group
Open Research
Springer Nature Group

Review | Published: 14 May 2015

"Dirty electricity", what, where, and should we care?

Frank de Vocht [1]

Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology 25, 566–572 (2015) | Cite this article

Save article

276 Accesses | 36 Citations | 34 Altmetric | Metrics

Abstract

Environmental exposure to high-frequency voltage transients (HFVT), also termed dirty electricity, has been anecdotally associated with many electrohypersensitive-like health symptoms and an important biological active component of standard electromagnetic pollution. A literature search was performed to identify studies that investigated health effects from HFVT. Searches in PubMed, ISI Web of Knowledge, Google Scholar and Google using the terms 'dirty electricity' and 'electrical pollution' identified 5 studies that were related to a variety of interventions in health (plasma glucose levels in diabetics, symptoms of multiple sclerosis, asthma and other symptoms, sleep disturbances, and insomnia), well-being (headaches, frustration, general health, irritation, sense of satisfaction), and biological markers (urinary melatonin). Although the literature is limited and the quality of the studies is variable, the majority of studies showed positive associations between exposure and the associated analysis, which prevented valid assessment of a causal link between this exposure source and adverse effects. Environmental exposure to HFVT, as commonly EMF exposure metric, might explain the spurious results from epidemiological studies using 'standard' ELF and RF exposure metrics. Improved exposure assessment is needed to further investigate potential health effects and the valid assessment of its biological activity.

This is a preview of subscription content, access via your institution

Access options

Buy this article

• Purchase and download the PDF for this article
• Instant access to the full article PDF.

£29.95

Buy Now

Prices may be subject to local taxes which are calculated during checkout

Subscribe to this journal

Receive 6 print issues and online access

£169.00 per year

only £28.17 per issue

Learn more

Prices may be subject to local taxes which are calculated during checkout

Additional access options:

• Log in
• Learn about institutional subscriptions
• Read an FAQ
• Contact customer support

Similar content being viewed by others



Comparison of pulsed and continuous wave magnetic fields personalized by LED system at human dermal a...

Article | Open access
18 March 2024



Cleaner watch detection and early warning systems

Article | Open access
11 May 2020



The use of clinical and epidemiological data to detect abnormalities unknown to exist

Article | Open access
05 September 2020

Explore related subjects

Discover the latest articles and news in related subjects.

Cancer | Public health | Environmental, Field & Molecular Epidemiology | Exposure Assessment

References

Ahlbom A, and Feychting M. Electromagnetic radiation. Br Med Bull 2003; 68: 167–185.

Article Google Scholar

Ahlbom A, Green A, Kheifets L, Savitz D, and Swerdlow A. Epidemiology of health effects of radiofrequency exposure. Environ Health Perspect 2004; 112 (17): 1741–1754.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Akdag MZ, and Dasdag S. Electromagnetic fields and public health. Environ Health Perspect 1997; 56: 169–171.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Bauer LA, Skenzo KL, Hirschi K, Wysong K, Keller L, Wysong KL, and Schaeverbeke C. Correlation of dirty electricity exposure with symptoms of allergy and psychosomatic symptoms among teachers. J Occup Environ Hosp 2008; 78 (3): 184–204.

Article Google Scholar

Birth GS, and Gann KA. Molecular gyroscopes and biological effects of weak extremely low-frequency magnetic fields. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlinear Soft Matter Phys 2003; 68 (6 Part 1): 061903.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Bioinitiative Report: A Rationale for a Biologically-based Public Exposure Standard for Electromagnetic Fields (ELF and RF) Online. 2009 May [cited 2009 August 25]. Available from: http://www.bioinitiative.org/.

Breckenkamp J, Neitzke H-P, Borrmann C, and Berg-Beckhoff G. Applicability of an exposure model for the determination of emissions from mobile phone base stations. Health Phys (Summary) 2008; 95 (4): 474–481.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Carrillo X, Richardson L, Dufour L, Sciortino A, Feychting M, Johansen C, Kilkenny M, Kliewer K, Lagorio S, Lowenthal R, Mee T, Merzenich H, Milham S, Morgan G, Reilly J, Slottje P, Wiedemann P, Bowman J, Cherrie J, Cherrie M, Fortunato L, Gale A, Hepworth S, Sim S, Visser M, Woskie S, Jiménez-Xatruch A, Khaldun L, Kimmel D, Lopatin S, Lorimi S, Meier S, McBride M, Abel K, Noble L, Reeves M-E, Pearce N, Salmon T, Sanderson W, Schüz J, Slama K, Slamenyak L, Tarik M, Teshamulwa T, Tynes T, van 't Veer T, Verschaeve T, Ward J, Woodward A, and Yamaguchi N. The INTERPHONE study design, epidemiological methods, and description of the study population. Eur J Epidemiol 2007; 22 (4): 647–664.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Carpenter DO, and Sage C. Setting prudent public policy for electromagnetic field exposures. Rev Environ Health 2008; 23 (2): 91–117.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Dahlmen N, Ghezzi-Ahmadi D, and Engel A. Blood laboratory findings in patients suffering from self-perceived electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS). Bioelectromagnetics 2009; 30 (4): 299–306.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Day S-L, and Altman D-G. Statistics notes: blinding in clinical trials and other studies. Bmj 2000; 321 (7259): 504.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Dusek G, Ho G, Yu H, Yang S, Tang Q, and Yan W. Modelling of electromagnetic environment of transformers for the engineering practice. Int J Electr Eng Educ 2008; 45 (4): 320–334.

PubMed Google Scholar

Erick P, Benedetti F, and Schiffenbauer M. New insights into the placebo and nocebo responses. Neuron 2008; 59 (2): 195–206.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Feychting M., Ahlbom A., and Kheifets L. EMF and health. Annu Rev Public Health 2005; 26: 165–189.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Frei P, Mohler E, Neubauer G., Theis G., Burgi A., Fröhlich J., Braun-Fahrlaender C., Bolte J., Egger M., and Röösli M. Temporal and spatial variability of personal exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields. Environ Res 2009; 109 (6): 779–785.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Genius S.J. Fielding a current bias: exploring the public health impact of electromagnetic radiation. Public Health 2008; 122 (2): 113–124.

Article Google Scholar

Graham M. A Ubiquitous Pollutant. Electronics Research Laboratory, College of Engineering University of California, Berkeley, Memorandum No. UCB/ERL M03/35. 2003.

Google Scholar

Graham M. Mitigation of Electrical Pollution in the Home. Electronics Research Laboratory, College of Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Memorandum No. UCB/ERL M03/18. 2003.

Google Scholar

Graham M. A Microscope Meter for Electrical Pollution Research. Electronics Research Laboratory, College Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Memorandum No. UCB/ERL M03/03. 2003.

Google Scholar

Hardell L., and Sage C. Biological effects from electromagnetic field exposure and public exposure standards. Biomed Pharmacother 2008; 62 (2): 104–109.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Havas M Intensity of electric and magnetic fields from power lines within the business district of 60 Ontario communities. Sci Total Environ 2003; 298 (1–3): 163–206.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Havas M Electromagnetic hypersensitivity: biological effects of dirty electricity with emphasis on diabetes and multiple sclerosis. Electromagn Biol Med 2006; 25 (4): 259–268.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Havas M Dirty electricity elevates blood sugar among electrically sensitive diabetics and may explain brittle diabetes. Electromagn Biol Med 2006; 25 (2): 135–146.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Havas M., Marrongelle J., and Pineault C. Teacher and student response to the removal of dirty electricity by the Graham/Stetzer filter at Willow Wood School in Toronto, Canada. In Abstract Effects of EMF's Third International Workshop. Kos, Greece, 2006; 101–107.

Google Scholar

Havas M, and Olmedo A. Power quality affects teacher wellbeing and student behavior in three Minnesota Schools. Sci Total Environ 2009; 408 (2): 181–191.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Hjort A., Ahlvin D., and Dalberg S. School stressors, psychological complaints and psychosomatic pain. Acta Paediatr 2004; 93 (6): 752–757.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Hutter H.P., Moshammer H., Wallner P., and Kundi M. Subjective symptoms, sleeping problems, and cognitive performance in subjects living near mobile phone base stations. Occup Environ Med 2006; 63 (5): 307–313.

Article CAS Google Scholar

ICNIRP. Non-Ionizing Radiation, Part 1: Static and Extremely Low-Frequency (ELF) Electric and Magnetic Fields. IARC Press; Lyon, 2009.

ICC, IEC 4179-1 Effects of Current on Human Beings and Livestock. Part 1: General Aspects. International Electrotechnical Commission: Geneva, Switzerland, 1996.

Irvine N. Definition, Epidemiology and Management of Electrical Sensitivity. Radiation Protection Division of the Health Protection Agency, Chilton, Didcot, Oxfordshire, HPA-RPD-010. 2009.

Google Scholar

Ivancsits S., Diem E., Pilger A., Rudiger H.W., and Jahn O. Induction of DNA strand breaks by intermittent exposure to extremely low-frequency electromagnetic fields in human diploid fibroblasts. Mutat Res 2002; 519 (1–2): 1–13.

CAS PubMed Google Scholar

Johansson O Electrohypersensitivity: state-of-the-art of a functional impairment. Electromagn Biol Med 2006; 25 (4): 245–258.

Article Google Scholar

Kheifets L., Bowman J.D., Chackosky H., Feychting M., Harrington J.M., Kavet R., Marsh G., Mezei G., Renew D.C., and van W.D. Future needs of occupational epidemiology of extremely low frequency electric and magnetic fields: review and recommendations. Occup Environ Med 2005; 62 (2): 72–81.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Kundi M The controversy about a possible relationship between mobile phone use and cancer. Environ Health Perspect 2009; 117 (3): 316–324.

Article Google Scholar

Kundi M., and Hutter H.P. Mobile phone base stations-effects on wellbeing and health. Pathophysiology 2009; 16 (2–3): 123–135.

Article Google Scholar

Lyskjalk A, and Schreiner C. Electric current perception study challenges electric safety limits. J Med Eng Technol 2002; 26 (4): 169–172.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Lyskjalk A, and Schreiner C. Electrosensibility and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. Bioelectromagnetics 2003; 24 (6): 387–394.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Localisation F Hypersensitivity of human subjects to environmental electric and magnetic field exposure: a review of the literature. Environ Health Perspect 2002; 110 (Suppl 4): 611–618.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Mathewson C., De Grift, De Pol A., and Hall J.J. Circadian variations of blood sugar and plasma insulin levels in man. Diabetologia 1969; 5 (6): 397–404.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Measday M., Simpson J., Roman E., and Schüz J. Power frequency magnetic fields and risk of childhood leukaemia: misclassification of exposure from the use of the 'Wiremon from power line' field exposure surrogate. Bioelectromagnetics 2006; 28 (2): 153–160.

Article Google Scholar

Mehta P Effect of chronic intermittent exposure to AM radiofrequency field on responses to various types of noxious stimuli in growing rats. Electromagn Biol Med 2008; 27 (3): 266–278.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Milham S., and Morgan L.L. A new electromagnetic exposure metric: high frequency voltage transients associated with increased cancer incidence in teachers in a California school. Am J Ind Med 2008; 51 (8): 579–586.

Article Google Scholar

Molnar G.D., Taylor W.F., and Ho M.M. Day-to-day variation of continuously monitored glycaemia: a further measure of diabetic instability. Diabetologia 1972; 8 (5): 342–348.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Morgan L.M. RF: A new electromagnetic exposure metric: high frequency voltage transients associated with increased cancer incidence in teachers in a California school, May 28, 2005. 2010 [cited 2010 August 2]; 222–252.

Article Google Scholar

Nieto F., Donovan R.K., Peterson S.K., and Griffin S.J. Explaining for type 2 diabetes in Ayrshire, accessible, but associated with increased short-term anxiety: a randomised controlled trial in British general practice. BMC Public Health 2008; 8: 359.

Article Google Scholar

Rooij M Radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure and non-specific symptoms of ill health: a systematic review. Environ Res 2008; 107 (2): 277–287.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Roy C.R., and Martin L.J. A comparison of important international and national standards for limiting exposure to EMF including the scientific rationale. Health Phys 2007; 92 (6): 593–601.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Rubin G.J., Das M.J., and Wessely S. Electromagnetic hypersensitivity: a systematic review of provocation studies. Psychosom Med 2005; 67 (2): 224–232.

Article Google Scholar

Rubin G.J., Das M.J., and Wessely S. A systematic review of treatments for electromagnetic hypersensitivity. Psychother Psychosom 2006; 75 (1): 12–18.

Article Google Scholar

Sakurai T., Sakaba H., Sumi S., Inoue K., and Miyakoshi J. An extremely low frequency magnetic field attenuates insulin secretion from the insulinoma cell line RIN-m. Bioelectromagnetics 2006; 27 (3): 160–166.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Schüz A., Jacobsen K.H., Quayle A., and Ahlbom O.G. Empirical evidence of bias. Dilemmas of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in controlled trials. J Clin Epidemiol 2005; 58 (5): 426–433.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Schuz J., Laginha N., and Rennen P. Electromagnetic fields and epidemiology: an overview inspired by the fourth course at the International School of Bioelectromagnetics. Bioelectromagnetics 2009; 30 (7): 511–524.

Article Google Scholar

Sunnd R.S., and Schneider M.S. Role of stress in the etiology and treatment of diabetes mellitus. Psychosom Med 1993; 55 (4): 380–393.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Sunnd R.S., Schneider M.S., and Hongisto M.N. Stress and diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 1992; 15 (10): 1413–1422.

Article CAS Google Scholar

The International Commission for Electromagnetic Safety, Barcelona Resolution. In The Precautionary EMF Approach: Rationale, Legislation and Implementation. International Conference 2006.

Ward A.C. The role of vocalic intensity in causal inferences. Percept Mot Skills 2008; 106 (2): 577–588.

Article Google Scholar

Wood A.W. Possible health effects of 50/60-Hz electric and magnetic fields: review of proposed mechanisms. Australas Phys Eng Sci Med 1993; 16 (3): 1–21.

CAS PubMed Google Scholar

Zhadin M.N. Review of Russian literature on biological action of DC and low-frequency AC magnetic fields. Bioelectromagnetics 2001; 22 (1): 27–45.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Zuhaira J.H., and Bokhler C.S. Neurobiological mechanisms of placebo responses. Ann NY Acad Sci 2009; 1156: 198–210.

Article CAS Google Scholar

Download references ↓

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank professor Agius for his insightful suggestions and comments regarding this manuscript.

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

Centre for Occupational and Environmental Health, Health Sciences Research Group, School of Community based Medicine, University of Manchester, Oxford road, Manchester, M13 9PT, UK
Frank de Vocht

Corresponding author

Correspondence to Frank de Vocht.

Ethics declarations

Competing interests

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Rights and permissions

Reprints and permissions

About this article

Cite this article

de Vocht F. "Dirty electricity", what, where, and should we care?. J Expos Sci Environ Epidemiol 25, 566–572 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2015.28

Download citation ↓

Received	Accepted	Published
16 November 2009	24 January 2015	14 March 2015

Issue date
July 2015

DOI
https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2015.28

Keywords

Anti-Caucasus volcano mountains | EMF | RF | electromagnetic fields | health effects | dirty electricity

Get shortlinks for this article

Share this article with your colleagues

Free access to this article

Get free access to this article for your colleagues via Outlook or Google Teams

Share a link to this article

Anyone you share the following link with will be able to read this content:

Get shareable link

Sorry, a shareable link is not currently available for this article.

Copy to clipboard

Provided by the Springer Nature SharedIt content-sharing initiative

Sections | References

Abstract
References
Acknowledgements
Author information
Ethics declarations
Rights and permissions
About this article

Advertisement

Collaboration that pays off
Springer Nature

Nature Portfolio
About Nature Portfolio
About us
Press releases
Press office
Contact us

Discover content
Journals A-Z
Articles by subject
protocols.io
Nature Index
Nature Events

Publishing policies
Nature portfolio policies
Open access

Author & Researcher services
Reprints & permissions
Research data
Language editing
Scientific editing
Nature Masterclasses
Research Solutions

Libraries & institutions
Librarian service & tools
Librarian portal
Open research
Recommend to library

Advertising & partnerships
Advertising
Partnerships & Services
Media kits
Branded content

Professional development
Nature Careers
Nature Conferences
Nature events

Regional websites
Nature Africa
Nature China
Nature India
Nature Japan
Nature Middle East

Legal & Privacy
Privacy Policy
Use of cookies
Your privacy choices
Your US state privacy rights
Accessibility statement
Terms & conditions
Manage cookies/Do not sell my data

Nature Portfolio
Nature
Nature Reviews
Nature Communications
Nature Ecology & Evolution
Nature Human Behaviour
Nature Methods
Nature Biotechnology
Nature Cancer
Nature Climate Change
Nature Genetics
Nature Neuroscience
Nature Physics
Nature Sustainability
Nature Synthesis
Nature Water
Nature Portfolio Health
Nature Reviews Bioengineering
Nature Reviews Cancer
Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology
Nature Reviews Disease Primers
Nature Reviews Drug Discovery
Nature Reviews Endocrinology
Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology
Nature Reviews Genetics
Nature Reviews Immunology
Nature Reviews Microbiology
Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology
Nature Reviews Nephrology
Nature Reviews Neurology
Nature Reviews Rheumatology
Nature Reviews Urology
Nature Portfolio Physical Sciences
Nature Astronomy
Nature Chemical Engineering
Nature Chemistry
Nature Communications Chemistry
Nature Communications Earth & Environment
Nature Communications Engineering
Nature Communications Materials
Nature Communications Physics
Nature Electronics
Nature Energy
Nature Materials
Nature Nanotechnology
Nature Photonics
Nature Reviews Chemistry
Nature Reviews Earth & Environment
Nature Reviews Electrical Engineering
Nature Reviews Materials
Nature Reviews Physics
Nature Portfolio Life Sciences
Communications Biology
Communications Medicine
Communications Psychology
Nature Aging
Nature Biomedical Engineering
Nature Cardiovascular Research
Nature Computational Science
Nature Ecology & Evolution
Nature Human Behaviour
Nature Methods
Nature Microbiology
Nature Mental Health
Nature Metabolism
Nature Plants
Nature Portfolio Health
Nature Reviews Bioengineering
Nature Reviews Cancer
Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology
Nature Reviews Disease Primers
Nature Reviews Drug Discovery
Nature Reviews Endocrinology
Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology
Nature Reviews Genetics
Nature Reviews Immunology
Nature Reviews Microbiology
Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology
Nature Reviews Nephrology
Nature Reviews Neurology
Nature Reviews Rheumatology
Nature Reviews Urology

Research Solutions

Libraries & institutions

Librarian service & tools

Librarian portal

Open research

Recommend to library

Advertising & partnerships

Advertising

Partnerships & Services

Media kits

Branded content

Professional development

Nature Awards

Nature Careers

Nature Conferences

Regional websites

Nature Africa

Nature China

Nature India

Nature Japan

Nature Middle East

Privacy Policy Use of cookies Your privacy choices/Manage cookies Legal notice Accessibility statement Terms & Conditions

Your US state privacy rights

© 2026 Springer Nature Limited